

K. Grundke¹, K. Estel¹, A. Marschner¹, C. Bellmann¹, B. Joos-Müller² and A. Stoll³

¹ Leibniz-Institut für Polymerforschung Dresden e.V.

² Fraunhofer-Institut für Produktionstechnik und Automatisierung, IPA

³ Forschungsinstitut für Leder und Kunststoffbahnen Freiberg, FILK

Motivation

Thermoplastic polyolefins (TPO) are widely used in the automotive industry for the manufacturing of bumpers. For esthetic and protective reasons, the decorative painting of bumpers is desirable. The low surface free energy and the lack of polar functional groups of these materials are the reasons for their poor paintability. Effective surface modification techniques are, therefore, needed to improve the adhesion properties of TPO.


It was the *aim of this work* to compare the modification effects of three gas-phase methods (flame, plasma jet treatment, gas phase fluorination), especially with regard to a better understanding of the interplay between chemical composition, surface energetic properties and surface morphology of the pretreated TPO on the one hand and the adhesion of waterborne coating systems on the other hand.

Methods and Materials


Flame treatment
air/ propane (22:1); variation of exposure time, burner capacity, and flame distance
additionally: flame treatments under industrial conditions in a paint line for plastic parts

Plasma jet treatment
static and rotating nozzles; variation of nozzle distance and exposure time

Gas phase fluorination
tunnel machine using a gas mixture of F₂/ N₂/ O₂ (oxyfluorination); batch process using a mixture of F₂/ N₂; variation of fluorine concentration and time of exposure





Materials
TPO: commercially available from Basell, Total, Borealis, Sabic; blends: polypropylene (PP), ethylene propylene diene monomer rubber (EPDM), additives, talc (10%, 20%, 30%)
Automotive coating system: 2K hydroprimer (15-25 μm), metallic base coat (10-14 μm), 2K clear coat (25-35 μm) provided by Wörwag





Estimation of paint adhesion
Vapor jet test (DIN 55662): after conditioning (7 day at 23°C and 50% rel. humidity) and after TWT test (3 cycles each with 15h, 105°C, 30 min RT, 8h -40°C, 30 min RT); coated test panels contained a cross-cut, adhesion level was assessed by a number between 0 and 5

Comparison of modification effects



Impact on paint adhesion



Conclusions

- Flame treatment and gas phase fluorination had only a minor influence on surface roughness and heterogeneity of TPO materials. A dramatic increase in roughness and heterogeneity was observed after plasma jet treatments. But, by optimizing the treatment conditions surface roughness could be kept unaltered.
- Depending on the treatment conditions oxygen and nitrogen containing functional surface groups are introduced. In this way, it is possible to adjust the acid-base surface characteristics (influence on paint adhesion is expected).
- Higher amounts of additives in the surface region of TPO materials caused insufficient paint adhesion.
- A relation between the lowest and highest oxygen content in the surface region and paint adhesion was found. From XPS analysis of the fracture surfaces, it can be concluded that interfacial failure predominated when the modification effect was too small. Cohesive failure in the TPO material was observed when the surface was over-treated.

Acknowledgements

This project (no. 387 ZBG) was funded via AiF by the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy within the governmental R&D support „Industrial cooperative research“.

The authors want to be grateful to the companies of the project board especially BMW, Daimler, Plasmatreat and Wörwag for making available the materials as well as their support in carrying out the experiments.